arXiv:1303.6797v1 [math.PR] 27 Mar 2013

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF A CERTAIN DISTRIBUTION

YOUZHOU ZHOU

Abstract. This paper considers the limiting distributions of π_σ, the stationary distribution of infinitely-many-alleles diffusion with symmetric selection. In [7] the limiting distribution of π_σ was obtained except critical case, $\sigma = \lambda \log\theta, \lambda = k(k+1), k \geq 1$. This article gives a positive answer to the critical problem. Therefore, all limiting distributions of π_σ with overdominant selection and small mutation are obtained.

1. Introduction

The most extensively studied model in population genetics is neutral infinite-allele model, where mutations always generate completely new allele types. If we use $x = (x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ to represent the allele frequency in decreasing order, then the infinitely-many-neutral-alleles diffusion [3] is the associated diffusion process characterized by generator

$$G = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} x_i(\delta_{i,j} - x_j)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x \in \bar{\nabla}_\infty,$$

where $\bar{\nabla}_\infty = \{(x_1, x_2, \cdots) | x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \leq 1\}$. Poisson-Dirichlet distribution, hereafter denoted as $\mathrm{PD}(\theta)$, is its stationary distribution. If symmetric selection is considered, we will end up with the infinitely-many-alleles diffusion with symmetric selection introduced by Ethier and Kurtz in [4], characterized by

$$G_\sigma = G + \sigma \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i(x_i - \varphi_2(x))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, x \in \bar{\nabla}_\infty,$$

where $\varphi_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^2$. For a given allele frequency x, the homozygosity, denoted by H_2, is defined to be $\varphi_2(x)$. Since the allele frequency is random, then H_2 is a random variable as well.

The infinitely-many-alleles diffusion with symmetric selection has stationary distribution π_σ defined as

$$\pi_\sigma(dx) = C_\sigma \exp\{\sigma\varphi_2(x)\}\mathrm{PD}(\theta)(dx), x \in \nabla_\infty,$$

where C_σ is a normalized constant. Choosing symmetric selection is not only biologically important [12], but also mathematically convenient for the corresponding stochastic process is Markovian. If $\sigma > 0$, then the selection is of underdominant type; if $\sigma < 0$, then the selection is overdominant.

Mutations and selection are two important evolution forces in population genetics. It is commonly accepted that mutations contribute substantially to the genetic

---

Date: March 28, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary .

Key words and phrases. Homozygosity, Phase Transition, Overdominant Selection.

variability. Overdominant selection, however, is also suggested to be the crucial factor for maintaining biological diversity, please refer to [11] and references therein. When mutations and overdominant selection are both large, the effect of overdominant selection is hardly pronounced; while when mutation is small and overdominant selection is large, the effect of overdominant selection can be observable. In [9] Gillespie conjectured that overdominant selective model is indistinguishable from neutral model based his numerical results. This conjecture was certified by Joyce, Krone and Kurtz [10], Dawson and Feng [1]. In [7], large deviation principle (hereafter LDP) of $\mathrm{PD}(\theta)$ with small mutation is obtained, the speed is $\log\frac{1}{\theta}$ and the LDP rate function is

$$J(x)=\begin{cases}0, & x\in L_1\\ n-1, & x\in L_n, x_n>0, n\geq 2\\ +\infty, & x\notin L\end{cases}$$

where, $L_n=\{(x_1,\cdots,x_n,0,\cdots)\in\nabla:\sum_{i=1}^n x_i=1\}, L=\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty L_n$. Here $J(x)$ exhibits some properties similar to energy ladder structure. As an application, the LDP of π_σ was also considered. When $\sigma=\lambda\log\theta$ the LDP rate function is

$$S_\lambda(x)=J(x)+\lambda\varphi_2(x)-\inf_{n\geq 1}\{\frac{\lambda}{n}+n-1:n\geq 1\},$$

which is essentially different from that of $\mathrm{PD}(\theta)$, thus, the effects of overdominant selection are pronounced. It was observed in [7] that when $\lambda\in(k(k-1),k(k+1)), k\geq 1$, the limiting distribution of π_σ is $\delta_{(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)}$; for the critical case $\lambda=k(k+1), k\geq 1$, the LDP rate function $S(x)$ has two zero points, but the law of large numbers remains open, for more details please refer to [8].

The main result of this paper provides a positive answer to the law of large numbers at critical value. When $\sigma=\lambda\log\theta, \lambda=k(k+1), k\geq 1$, the limiting distribution of π_σ is obtained. It is

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_{(k(k-1),k(k+1)]}(\lambda)\delta_{(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)}.$$

Therefore, the limiting distribution of homozygosity under π_σ is also obtained and it is

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_{(k(k-1),k(k+1)]}(\lambda)\delta_{\frac{1}{k}}. \tag{1}$$

As a matter of fact, (1) actually rigorously confirms the simulation results in [11], where the distribution of heterozygosity, defined to be $\hat{H}_2=1-H_2$, concentrates at $\frac{1}{2}$ or 0 according to the simulation results when mutation is small and ratio of selection to mutations is large; thus the distribution of homozygosity concentrates at $\frac{1}{2}$ or 1. But based on results in [7], if we consider the ratio of selection to the logarithm of mutations, then the simulation results may be better.

Furthermore, the probability density function of homozygosity under $\mathrm{PD}(\theta)$ exhibits spikes at $\frac{1}{k}, k\geq 1$, as well, though its limiting distribution concentrates only at 1 as $\theta\to 0$. This statistical property of homozygosity was observed by Stewart in [6]. In [5] Higgs notices that there are some similarities of frequency distributions between population genetics and statistical physics, and some simulations in [5] also show that homozygosity has spikes at $\frac{1}{k}, k\geq 1$. Interestingly homozygosity turns out to be an important quantity in those statistical physics models as well. In [2] those spikes of homozygosity distributions were shown to be the common features of three typical physics models: random map model, mean field spin glass model and random broken object problem. As Higgs argued in [5], however, homozygosity can hardly have physical realizations in those models; on the contrary, homozygosity is a directly measurable quantity in population genetics. Due to results in this article, the singularities of homozygosity can be even balanced out under mutations and overdominant selection. Even though there are similarities between models in population genetics and physics, I am still not sure if we can regard mutations as functions of absolute temperature and treat overdominant selection as some external field, then λ becomes the ratio of this field to the logarithm of temperature, then it will exhibit countable many phase transitions when temperature is near 0 and the field is strong.

As we know, critical cases in physics are notoriously difficult, therefore, it is not an easy job to figure out the limiting distributions of homozygosity when $\lambda = k(k+1), k \geq 1$. In this article, by calculating the asymptotic moment generating function of homozygosity under π_σ, the limiting distribution of homozygosity is obtained for all $\lambda > 0$; in addition, by making use of LDP of π_σ, the asymptotic distribution of π_σ is obtained as well.

The whole paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present the main theorem on limiting distribution of homozygosity and its proof. In section 3, proofs of all lemmas will be shown in details.

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *For $\sigma = \lambda \log \theta$, $\lambda > 0$, the limiting distribution of homozygosity, H_2, under the distribution π_σ is*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \delta_{(k(k-1),k(k+1)]}(\lambda)\delta_{\frac{1}{k+1}}(dx).$$

Remark 1. *As you can see, the limiting distribution of homozygosity is pretty much a Dirac comb. At each critical point, the distribution stay the same as its previous one, after the critical point, the distribution jump to another one, such a phase transition phenomenon resembles the metastability.The idea of proof is quite simple, that is, we are going to figure out the limiting moment generating function of homozygosity under π_σ. Thus, the limiting distribution of homozygosity is obtained.*

Before we present the proof, we need the following lemma, the proof of which are presented in the next section.

Lemma 1. *The moments of heterozygosity $m_k = E\hat{H}_2^k = E(1-H_2)^k$ has the following form*

$$m_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,1}(\theta) &= \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)} \\ A_{k,p}(\theta) &= \sum_{l=p-1}^{k-1}\frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{l,p-1}(\theta), \quad p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Let's define $A_{k,p} = A_{k,p}(0)$, then

$$A_{k,1} = \frac{2^k k!(k-1)!}{(2k)!}$$

and

$$A_{k,p} = \sum_{l=p-1}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p-1}, \quad p \geq 2.$$

Then $A_{k,p}$ does not depend on θ anymore, and we have the following lemma on their upper bounds and relations.

Lemma 2. *If we fix* p*, for large* k*,* $\forall\theta \in [0,1]$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2^p}A_{k,p} \leq A_{k,p}(\theta) \leq A_{k,p} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}}, \quad \forall k \geq p \geq 1; \\ &|A_{k,p}(\theta) - A_{k,p}| \leq \theta p A_{k,p}, 1 \leq p \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *For* $\lambda > 0$*, we have*

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta) = 0.$$

Lemma 4. *Suppose that* a_n, b_n *are two positive sequences, and* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_n}{b_n} = c$*, then* $\lim_{x\to+\infty}\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}b_n\frac{x^n}{n!}}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n\frac{x^n}{n!}} = c$.

Lemma 5. *For* $p \geq 1$ *fixed, we have*

$$A_{k,p} \sim C_p\frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}}(\frac{p}{p+1})^k,$$

where $C_1 = \sqrt{\pi}$*, and* $C_{p+1} = C_p\sqrt{\pi}(\frac{p+2}{p})^{\frac{p}{2}}$.

Lemma 6. *Define* $C_{k,l} = \sum_{s=0}^{k-l}\binom{k}{s}(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda})^l A_{k-s,l}$*, then*

$$C_{k,l} \sim (1+\frac{\lambda l}{(\lambda-l)(l+1)})^{\frac{l}{2}}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{l}{2}}}(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1})^k.$$

Lemma 7. *For* $\lambda > 2$*, define*

$$K_n^{\lambda}(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta)}$$

and

$$\tilde{K}_n^{\lambda}(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}},$$

then when $u(u-1) < \lambda \leq u(u+1), \quad u \geq 2$*, we have*

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}K_n^{\lambda}(\theta) = \lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n^{\lambda}(\theta) = (\frac{u-1}{u})^n.$$

[PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1]:

Proof. Let's denote ϕ_H to be the moment generating function of homozygosity H_2 under π_σ. Thus,

$$\phi_H(t) = \frac{E\exp\{-tH_2\}\cdot\exp\{\lambda\log\theta H_2\}}{E\exp\{\lambda\log\theta H_2\}},$$

For some technical reason, we need to multiply the common term $\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}$ to the numerator and denumerator, then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_H(t) &= e^{-t}\frac{E\exp\{t(1-H_2)\}\cdot\exp\{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}(1-H_2)\}}{E\exp\{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}(1-H_2)\}}\\ &=e^{-t}\Big(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}\frac{E(1-H_2)^n\exp\{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}(1-H_2)\}}{E\exp\{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}(1-H_2)\}}\Big)\\ &=e^{-t}\Big(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}\frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^m}{m!}E(1-H_2)^{n+m}}{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^m}{m!}E(1-H_2)^m}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

If we denote the limit of $\phi_H(t)$ by $\psi_H(t)$, then we have

$$\psi_H(t) = e^{-t}\Big(1+\lim_{\theta\to0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}\big(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l}\big)$$
$$+\frac{m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=k+1}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l}\Big)$$

By Lesbegue's dominant convergence theorem, we can switch the order of summation and limit. Thus,

$$\psi_H(t) = e^{-t}\Big(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}\big(\lim_{\theta\to0}\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l}\big)$$
$$+\lim_{\theta\to0}\frac{m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=k+1}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l}\Big)$$

Now we claim that

$$\lim_{\theta\to0}\frac{m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1+k}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l} = 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0&\le\frac{m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1+k}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l}\\ &\le m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1+k}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l.\end{aligned}$$

By lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1+k}^{k+n}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\theta^l \le m_n+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\sum_{l=k+1}^{k+n}\frac{\theta^l}{2^{l-2}}\\
&m_n+4\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\frac{\theta}{2}\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}(1-(\frac{\theta}{2})^n)\frac{\theta}{2-\theta}\\
&m_n+4\frac{\theta}{2-\theta}(1-(\frac{\theta}{2})^n)(e^{\lambda\frac{\theta}{2}\log\frac{1}{\theta}}-1)\to 0,\ \text{as } \theta\to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We have used the fact that $m_n \to 0$, as $\theta \to 0$, which is due to $PD(\theta)(dx) \to \delta_{(1,0,\cdots)}(dx)$, as $\theta \to 0$. By Lesbegue's dominant convergence theorem, we can switch the order of summation and limit, thus we have

$$\psi_H(t)=e^{-t}\Big(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta)}\Big)$$

Now what we need to show is, for $u(u-1)<\lambda\le u(u+1), u\ge 1$, we have

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta)}=(\frac{u-1}{u})^n. \tag{2}$$

Once we have got the above equation, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_H(t)&=e^{-t}(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{t^n}{n!}(\frac{u-1}{u})^n)\\
&=e^{-t}(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\frac{t(u-1)}{u})^n}{n!})=e^{-t}e^{\frac{t(u-1)}{u}}=e^{-\frac{t}{u}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\psi_H(t)=\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}\delta_{(u(u-1),u(u+1)]}(\lambda)e^{-\frac{t}{u}}$. Therefore, the limiting distribution of H_2 under π_σ is $\sum_{u=1}^{\infty}\delta_{(u(u-1),u(u+1)]}(\lambda)\delta_{\frac{1}{u}}$.

Now we are going to certify the claim (2). Firstly, when $0<\lambda\le 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&0\le\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta)}\le\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\\
&\le\theta\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,1}(\theta)+\theta^2\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,2}(\theta)\\
&+\sum_{l=3}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

We can actually show that the above three terms approach 0 as $\theta\to 0$. Indeed, by lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0&\le\sum_{l=3}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}(\theta)\le\sum_{l=3}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\frac{1}{2^{l-2}}\\
&\le 4\sum_{l=3}^{\infty}(\frac{\theta}{2})^l e^{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}}=\frac{4}{\theta^\lambda}(\frac{\theta}{2})^3=\frac{1}{2}\theta^{3-\lambda}\to 0,\ \text{as } \theta\to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

and by lemma 2, we have

$$0 \le \theta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,1}(\theta) \le \theta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,1}$$
$$\le \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } \theta \to 0.$$

The above limit is due to lemma 5 and lemma 4. Similarly,

$$0 \le \theta \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,2}(\theta) \le \theta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,2}$$
$$\le \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,2}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}} \to 0, \quad \text{as } \theta \to 0.$$

Thus, we have for $0 < \lambda \le 2$,

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta)} = 0 = (\frac{1-1}{1})^n.$$

Secondly, for $u(u-1) < \lambda \le u(u+1), u \ge 2$, then $\lambda > 2$. we can show that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta)} = \lim_{\theta \to 0} K_n^{\lambda}(\theta), \tag{3}$$

then by lemma 7, we have proved claim (2). Now we only need to verify (3). To this end,

$$\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta)}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta) + \sum_{l=1+[\lambda]}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta)},$$

then by lemma 3, we know

$$\frac{\sum_{l=1+[\lambda]}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta)}{1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta)} \to 0, \text{ as } \theta \to 0.$$

And by lemma 2 and lemma 5, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,l}(\theta) \ge \theta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,1}(\theta)$$
$$\ge \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k+n,1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}} \to +\infty, \text{ as } \theta \to 0.$$

Similarly, $\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}(\theta) \to +\infty$, as $\theta \to 0$. Therefore, claim 3 is proved. □

Theorem 2.2. *For a given* $\sigma = \lambda \log\theta, \lambda > 0,$ *as* $\theta \to 0, \pi_\sigma$ *converges weakly to the following*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{(k(k-1),k(k+1)]}(\lambda)\delta_{(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)}.$$

Proof: We only need to consider the critical case $\lambda = (k+1)k, k \geq 1$. For a fixed $k \geq 1$, and $\lambda = (k+1)k$, we need to prove that π_σ converges weakly to $\delta_{(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)}$.

For a given $f \in C(\bar{\nabla}_\infty)$, then $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta < \frac{1}{k(k+1)+1}$, such that $\forall x \in B_\delta(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)$, we have

$$|f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)| < \epsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\int_{\nabla_\infty} f(x)\pi_\sigma(dx) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)| \\
&= |\int_{\nabla_\infty} (f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots))\pi_\sigma(dx)| \\
&\leq \int_{\nabla_\infty} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx) \\
&= \int_{S_\lambda \geq \delta} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx) \\
&+ \int_{S_\lambda < \delta} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx) \\
&\leq 2||f||_\infty \pi_\sigma(S_\lambda \geq \delta) + \int_{(S_\lambda<\delta)\cap(|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}|\geq\delta)} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx) \\
&+ \int_{(S_\lambda<\delta)\cap(|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}|<\delta)} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx) \\
&\leq 2||f||_\infty(\pi_{\lambda,\theta}(S_\lambda \geq \delta) + \pi_{\lambda,\theta}(|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| \geq \delta)) \\
&+ \int_{(S_\lambda<\delta)\cap(|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}|<\delta)} |f(x) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)|\pi_\sigma(dx)
\end{aligned}$$

By LDP of π_σ and the weak convergence of H_2 under π_σ, we have

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} \pi_\sigma(S_\lambda \geq \delta) = \lim_{\theta \to 0} \pi_\sigma(|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| \geq \delta) = 0.$$

Moreover, we claim that

$$(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \subset B_\delta(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots). \tag{4}$$

Then we have,

$$\limsup_{\theta\to 0} |\int_{\nabla_\infty} f(x)\pi_\sigma(dx) - f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)| \leq \epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\int_{\nabla_\infty} f(x)\pi_\sigma(dx) = f(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots).$$

Therefore, π_σ converges weakly to $\delta_{(\frac{1}{k},\cdots,\frac{1}{k},0,\cdots)}$.

Now we need to show the claim (4), for $\lambda = (k+1)k$, since

$$S_\lambda(x) = J(x) + (k+1)k\varphi_2(x) - \inf_{n\geq 1}\{\frac{(k+1)k}{n} + n - 1\},$$

then

$$S_\lambda|_{L_n}(x) = n - 1 + k(k+1)\varphi_2|_{L_n} - 2k.$$

Because $\varphi_2|_{L_n}(x)$ has a unique minimum point $(\frac{1}{n}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \cdots)$, then

$$S_\lambda|_{L_n}(x) \geq n - 1 + \frac{k(k+1)}{n} - 2k.$$

By the monotonicity of the righthand function in n, we know it attains its minimum at k and $k+1$, and for $n \neq k, k+1$,

$$S_\lambda|_{L_n}(x) \geq \min\{k-1-1+\frac{k(k+1)}{k-1} - 2k, k+2-1+\frac{k(k+1)}{k+2} - 2k\} = \frac{2}{k+2} > \delta.$$

Then $(S_\lambda < \delta) = (S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (L_k \cup L_{k+1})$, thus,

$$\begin{aligned}&(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta)\\ &= \Big[(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \cap L_k\Big] \cup \Big[(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \cap L_{k+1}\Big]\end{aligned}$$

But $S_\lambda|_{L_{k+1}} = k(k+1)(\varphi_2|_{L_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{k+1})$, then $(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap L_{k+1} = (\varphi_2 < \frac{\delta}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{k+1}) \cap L_{k+1}$. Since $\delta < \frac{1}{k(k+1)+1}$, then $\frac{\delta}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{k+1} < \frac{1}{k} - \delta$, thus we have $\forall x \in L_{k+1}$,

$$\varphi_2(x) < \frac{\delta}{k(k+1)} + \frac{1}{k+1} < \frac{1}{k} - \delta < \varphi_2(x).$$

Therefore, $(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \cap L_{k+1} = \emptyset$. We have

$$(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) = (S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \cap L_k$$

Since $\forall x \in L_k, |\varphi_2(x) - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta$, we have $\frac{1}{k} - \delta < \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 < \frac{1}{k} + \delta$, and

$$\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\delta}{k} \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2}{k} < \frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{\delta}{k}.$$

Therefore, $\sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\delta}{k}} < \min_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i \leq \max_{1\leq i\leq k} x_i \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{\delta}{k}}$. Then $\forall x \in (S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \cap L_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}&d(x, (\frac{1}{k}, \cdots, \frac{1}{k}, 0, \cdots)) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{|x_i - \frac{1}{k}|}{2^i}\\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} \max_{1\leq i\leq k} |x_i - \frac{1}{k}| = (1 - \frac{1}{2^k}) \max_{1\leq i\leq k} |x_i - \frac{1}{k}|\\ &\leq \max\{|\sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\delta}{k}} - \frac{1}{k}|, |\sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{\delta}{k}} - \frac{1}{k}|\}\\ &= \max\{\frac{\frac{\delta}{k}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{\delta}{k}} + \frac{1}{k}}, \frac{\frac{\delta}{k}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{k^2} + \frac{\delta}{k}} + \frac{1}{k}}\}\\ &< \delta.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(S_\lambda < \delta) \cap (|\varphi_2 - \frac{1}{k}| < \delta) \subset B_\delta(\frac{1}{k}, \cdots, \frac{1}{k}, 0, \cdots)$, claim (4) is thus proved.

3. PROOF OF LEMMAS

Now we will embark on a long journey to prove the previous lemmas, but we will omit the proof of lemma 4 for it can usually be found in a standard textbook.

[PROOF OF LEMMA 1]:

Proof. Define $V_1 = U_1, V_i = (1-U_1)\cdots(1-U_{i-1})U_i, i \geq 2$, where $\{U_i, i \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. Beta(1,θ). Then $(V_1, V_2, \cdots)$ follows GEM distribution. Denote $(V_{(1)}, V_{(2)}, \cdots)$ the order statistics of $(V_1, V_2, \cdots)$ by decreasing order, then $(V_{(1)}, V_{(2)}, \cdots)$ follows Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. Since $H_2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty V_{(i)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty V_i^2$, then $1 - H_2 = (1-U_1^2) - (1-U_1)^2 + (1-U_1)^2(1-\tilde{H}_2) = 2U_1(1-U_1) + (1-U_1)^2(1-\tilde{H}_2)$, where $\tilde{H}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \tilde{V}_i$, and $\tilde{V}_1 = U_2, \tilde{V}_i = (1-U_2)\cdots(1-U_i)U_{i+1}$. We can see that $(\tilde{V}_1, \tilde{V}_2, \cdots)$ follows GEM as well and is independent of U_1. Thus, $E(1-H_2)^k = E(1-\tilde{H}_2)^k$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
m_k = E(1-H_2)^k &= (2U_1(1-U_1) + (1-U_1)^2(1-\tilde{H}_2))^k \\
&= E\sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}(2U_1(1-U_1))^{k-l}(1-\tilde{H}_2)^l \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}E(2U_1(1-U_1))^{k-l}E(1-\tilde{H}_2)^l \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{k}\binom{k}{l}\frac{2^{k-l}\Gamma(k-l+1)\Gamma(k+l+\theta)\theta}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}m_l.
\end{aligned}$$

If we isolate m_k, we have

$$m_k = \theta\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}m_l + \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}\theta, \tag{5}$$

where $k \geq 2$, and $m_1 = \frac{\theta}{1+\theta}$. We claim that m_k has the following expansion

$$m_k = \sum_{l=1}^{k} A_{k,l}(\theta)\theta^l,$$

where $A_{k,l} = \sum_{u=l-1}^{k-1}\frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^u u!}\frac{\Gamma(k+u+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{u,l-1}$. Indeed, for $k=1$, this is obvious. Assume that m_{k-1} has the above expression, then for m_k, by (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\theta\sum_{l=1}^{k-1}\frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}\sum_{u=1}^{l}A_{l,u}(\theta)\theta^u + \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}\theta \\
&= \sum_{u=1}^{k-1}[\sum_{l=u}^{k-1}\frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{l,u}(\theta)]\theta^{u+1} + \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Let's denote $p = u+1$, then we have $m_k = \sum_{p=1}^{k} A_{k,p}(\theta)\theta^p$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,1}(\theta) &= \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}\theta, \\ A_{k,p}(\theta) &= \sum_{l=p-1}^{k-1} \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{l,p-1}(\theta), \quad p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

[PROOF OF LEMMA 2]:

Proof. We use mathematical induction to show this. When $p=1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,1}(\theta) &= \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+\theta)} = \frac{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+\theta)} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}{(2k-1)\cdots k} = \frac{2^k (k-1)!k!}{(2k)!} = A_{k,1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$A_{k,1}(\theta) = \frac{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+\theta)} \geq \frac{2^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2k\cdots(k+1)} = \frac{1}{2}A_{k,1}.$$

Moreover, $A_{k,1} = \frac{2^k k!(k-1)!}{(2k)!} \leq 1 < \frac{1}{2^{1-2}} = 2$, therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}A_{k,1} \leq A_{k,1}(\theta) \leq A_{k,1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-2}}.$$

Now we assume that $A_{k,p}(\theta)$ satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2^p}A_{k,p} \leq A_{k,p}(\theta) \leq A_{k,p} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}}, k \geq p \geq 1. \tag{6}$$

Since $A_{k,p+1}(\theta) = \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{A_{l,p}}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+l+\theta)}$, then by assumption (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2^p}\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{1}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{A_{l,p}}{(2k-1+1)\cdots(k+l+1)} \leq A_{k,p+1}(\theta) \\ &\leq \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{1}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{A_{l,p}}{(2k-1)\cdots(k+l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2^p}\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{k+l}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p} \leq A_{k,p+1}(\theta) \leq \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}$$

Since $\frac{k+l}{2k} > \frac{k+k}{2k} = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\frac{1}{2^p}\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{k+l}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p} \geq \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{k+l}{2k}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2^{p+1}}A_{k,p+1} \leq A_{k,p+1}(\theta) \leq A_{k,p+1}.$$

We are going to show $A_{k,p} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}}, \forall k \geq p \geq 1$. Since $A_{k,1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{1-2}}$, then we assume that $A_{k,p} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}}, k \geq p$, then

$$A_{k,p+1} = \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!} \frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)} A_{l,p} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l! \Gamma(2k+1)}.$$

Let's define $B_{k,l} = \frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l! \Gamma(2k+1)}, \quad k-1 \geq l \geq p \geq 2$. In order to figure out the monotonicity of $B_{k,l}$ in l for fixed k. We should consider

$$\frac{B_{k,l+1}}{B_{k,l}} = \frac{2^l l! \Gamma(k+l+1)}{2^{l+1}(l+1)! \Gamma(k+l)} = \frac{k+l}{2(l+1)}.$$

If $l < k-2$, then $\frac{B_{k,l+1}}{B_{k,l}} > 1$, therefore we know $B_{k,k-2}$ or $B_{k,k-1}$ is the maximum term. Since

$$B_{k,k-2} = \frac{2^k k!}{2^{k-2}(k-2)!} \frac{\Gamma(2k-2)}{\Gamma(2k+1)} = \frac{1}{2k-1}$$
$$B_{k,k-1} = \frac{2^k k!}{2^{k-1}(k-1)!} \frac{\Gamma(2k-1)}{\Gamma(2k+1)} = \frac{1}{2k-1},$$

then

$$\sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l! \Gamma(2k+1)} \leq \frac{k-p}{2k-1} = \frac{k-p}{2(k-p)+2p-1} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, $A_{k,p+1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p-2}} \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}}$. For the last part, we can also use mathematical induction to prove it!

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_{k,1}(\theta) - A_{k,1}| &= |\frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k+\theta)}{2k\Gamma(2k+\theta)} - \frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}| \\
&= |\frac{2^k k! \Gamma(k)}{\Gamma(2k+1)} \Big(\frac{\Gamma(2k)\Gamma(k+\theta)}{\Gamma(2k+\theta)\Gamma(k)} - 1 \Big)| \\
&\leq A_{k,1} |\frac{(2k-1)\cdots k}{(2k+\theta-1)\cdots(k+\theta)} - 1| \\
&= A_{k,1} |(1 - \frac{\theta}{2k+\theta-1}) \cdots (1 - \frac{\theta}{k+\theta}) - 1| \\
&= \theta A_{k,1} | - \sum_{l=k}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{l+\theta} + \sum_{k \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq 2k-1} \frac{\theta^{2-1}}{(l_1+\theta)(l_2+\theta)} + \cdots \\
&\quad + (-1)^k \frac{\theta^{k-1}}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+\theta)}| \\
&\leq \theta A_{k,1} | \sum_{l=k}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{l+\theta} + \sum_{k \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq 2k-1} \frac{\theta^{2-1}}{(l_1+\theta)(l_2+\theta)} + \cdots \\
&\quad + \frac{\theta^{k-1}}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+\theta)}| \\
&\leq \theta A_{k,1} | \sum_{k}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{l} + \sum_{k \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq 2k-1} \frac{1}{l_1 l_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(2k-1)\cdots k}| \\
&= \theta A_{k,1} |(1 + \frac{1}{2k-1}) \cdots (1 + \frac{1}{k}) - 1|
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \theta A_{k,1}|\frac{2k}{2k-1}\frac{2k-1}{2k-2}\cdots\frac{k+1}{k} - 1| = \theta A_{k,1}|2-1| = \theta A_{k,1}.$$

Therefore, $|A_{k,1}(\theta) - A_{k,1}| \leq \theta A_{k,1}$. Now we assume that

$$|A_{k,p}(\theta) - A_{k,p}| \leq \theta A_{k,p}, \tag{7}$$

then for $|A_{k,p+1}(\theta) - A_{k,p+1}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|A_{k,p+1}(\theta) - A_{k,p+1}| \\
&= |\sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{l,p}(\theta) - \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}| \\
&= |\sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}(A_{l,p}(\theta) - A_{l,p})| \\
&+ |\sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}A_{l,p} - \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}| \\
&= \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2k+\theta}{2k}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)}|A_{l,p}(\theta) - A_{l,p}| \\
&+ \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}|\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k+l)\Gamma(2k+1+\theta)} - 1|.
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|A_{k,p+1}(\theta) - A_{k,p+1}| \\
&\leq \theta p \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2^l l! 2k\Gamma(2k+\theta)}A_{l,p} \\
&+ \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}|\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(2k+\theta)\Gamma(k+l)} - 1|.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(2k+\theta)\Gamma(k+l)} - 1| = |\frac{(2k-1)\cdots(k+l)}{(2k+\theta-1)\cdots(k+l+\theta)} - 1| \\
&= |(1 - \frac{\theta}{2k-1+\theta})\cdots(1 - \frac{\theta}{k+l+\theta}) - 1| \\
&= |-\sum_{l_0=k+l}^{2k-1}\frac{\theta}{l+\theta} + \sum_{k+l\leq l_1<l_2\leq 2k-1}\frac{\theta^2}{(l_1+\theta)(l_2+\theta)} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^k\frac{\theta^k}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+l+\theta)}| \\
&= \theta|-\sum_{l_0=k+l}^{2k-1}\frac{1}{l+\theta} + \sum_{k+l\leq l_1<l_2\leq 2k-1}\frac{\theta}{(l_1+\theta)(l_2+\theta)} \\
&+ \cdots + (-1)^k\frac{\theta^{k-1}}{(2k-1+\theta)\cdots(k+l+\theta)}|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \theta|\sum_{l_0=k+l}^{2k-1}\frac{1}{l}+\cdots+\frac{1}{(2k-1)\cdots(k+l)}| = \theta|(1+\frac{1}{2k-1})\cdots(1+\frac{1}{k+l})-1|$$

$$= \theta|\frac{2k}{2k-1}\frac{2k-1}{2k-2}\cdots\frac{k+l+1}{k+l}-1| = \theta|\frac{2k}{k+l}-1| = \theta\frac{k-l}{k+l} < \theta,$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma(k+l+\theta)}{2k\Gamma(2k+\theta)} = \frac{1}{2k(2k+\theta-1)\cdots(k+l+\theta)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2k(2k-1)\cdots(k+l)} = \frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$|A_{k,p+1}-A_{k,p+1}| \leq \theta p\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}$$

$$+\theta\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!}{2^l l!}\frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p} = \theta(p+1)A_{k,p+1}.$$

Thus, we have proved the lemma.

□

[PROOF OF LEMMA 3]:

Proof. By lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}(\theta) \leq \sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}\frac{1}{2^{l-2}}$$

$$= 4\sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta^l}{2^l}\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}$$

$$\leq 4\sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}(\frac{\theta}{2})^l e^{\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta}} = 4\sum_{l=[\lambda]+1}^{\infty}\frac{\theta^{l-\lambda}}{2^l} = 4\frac{\theta^{[\lambda]-\lambda+1}}{2^{[\lambda]+1}}\frac{2}{2-\theta}$$

$$\to 0, \text{ as } \theta\to 0, \text{ due to } [\lambda]+1>\lambda.$$

□

[PROOF OF LEMMA 5]:

Proof. By mathematical induction, we can prove this lemma. For $p=1$, by Stirling's lemma

$$\Gamma(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{z}}(\frac{z}{e})^z,$$

we have $A_{k,1} \sim \sqrt{\pi}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\frac{1}{2})^k$. We can therefore assume that

$$A_{k,p} \sim C_p\frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}}(\frac{p}{p+1})^k. \tag{8}$$

For $A_{k,p+1}$, we have

$$A_{k,p+1} = \sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}A_{l,p}.$$

By the assumption (8), we have $\forall\epsilon > 0, \exists M > 0$, such that $\forall k > M$,

$$1-\epsilon < \frac{A_{k,p}}{C_p \frac{1}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}}(\frac{p}{p+1})^k} < 1+\epsilon,$$

then rewrite $A_{k,p+1}$ as $X+Y$, where

$$X = \sum_{l=p}^{M} \frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)} A_{l,p}$$

and

$$Y = \sum_{l=M+1}^{k-1} \frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)} A_{l,p}.$$

Define $a_k(l) = \frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+l)}{2^l l!\Gamma(2k+1)}(\frac{p}{p+1})^l$, and $\Sigma_1 = \sum_{l=p}^{k-1} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l)$. Now we are going to show $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{X}{\Sigma_1} = 0$, and $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{Y}{\Sigma_1} = 1$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le X &\le \frac{\max_{p\le l\le M}(M-p+1)}{2^p p!} \frac{2^k k!\Gamma(k+M)}{\Gamma(2k+1)} \\ &\sim \frac{\max_{p\le l\le M}(M-p+1)}{2^p p!} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{k^{M-\frac{1}{2}}}{4^k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1-\epsilon)\sum_{l=M+1}^{k-1} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l) \le Y \le (1+\epsilon)\sum_{l=M+1}^{k-1} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l).$$

Then

$$0 \le \frac{X}{\Sigma_1} \le \frac{X}{\frac{C_p}{k^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(k)} \le \frac{\max_{p\le l\le M}(M-p+1)}{2^p p!} \sqrt{\pi}(\frac{p+1}{4p})^k k^{M-\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{p}{2}} 2k \to 0,$$

thus, $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{X}{\Sigma_1} = 0$. Similarly,

$$0 \le \sum_{l=p}^{M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l) \le \frac{\max_{p\le l\le M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}}(M-p+1)}{2^p p!} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{k^{M-\frac{1}{2}}}{4^k},$$

then

$$0 \le \frac{\sum_{l=p}^{M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l)}{\Sigma_1} \le \frac{\max_{p\le l\le M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}}(M-p+1)}{2^p p!} \sqrt{\pi}(\frac{p+1}{4p})^k k^{M-\frac{1}{2}} k^{\frac{p}{2}} 2k \to 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(1-\epsilon)(1-\frac{\sum_{l=p}^{M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l)}{\Sigma_1}) \le \frac{Y}{\Sigma_1} \le (1+\epsilon)(1-\frac{\sum_{l=p}^{M} \frac{C_p}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}} a_k(l)}{\Sigma_1}),$$

letting $k \to +\infty$, then $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{Y}{\Sigma_1} = 1,$$

hence $A_{k,p+1} \sim \Sigma_1$. Moreover,

$$a_k(l) = \frac{2^k k!(k-1)!}{\Gamma(2k+1)} (\frac{2(p+1)}{p+2})^k \frac{\Gamma(k+l)}{l!\Gamma(k)} (\frac{p}{2(p+1)})^l (1-\frac{p}{2(p+1)})^k.$$

Let X_α^k be negative binomial, $NB(k,\alpha)$, where $\alpha=\frac{p}{2(p+1)}$, then

$$a_k(l)=\frac{2^k k!(k-1)!}{\Gamma(2k+1)}(\frac{2(p+1)}{p+2})^k P(X_\alpha^k=l),$$

and

$$\Sigma_1=C_p\frac{2^k k!(k-1)!}{\Gamma(2k+1)}(\frac{2(p+1)}{p+2})^k\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{1}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}}P(X_\alpha^k=l).$$

We claim that $\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{1}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}}P(X_\alpha^k=l)\sim\frac{1}{l_0^{\frac{p}{2}}}\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}P(X_\alpha^k=l)$, as $k\to+\infty$, where $l_0=k\alpha$. Indeed,

$$\frac{\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}\frac{1}{l^{\frac{p}{2}}}P(X_\alpha^k=l)}{\frac{1}{l_0^{\frac{p}{2}}}}=\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)$$

$\forall\epsilon>0$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{l=p}^{k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)\\&=\sum_{p\le l\le l_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)+\sum_{l_0(1-\epsilon)\le l\le l_0(1+\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)\\&+\sum_{l_0(1+\epsilon)\le l\le k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l),\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}0\le\sum_{p\le l\le l_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)&\le(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p\sum_{p\le l\le l_0(1-\epsilon)}P(X_\alpha^k=l)\\&=(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p P(X_\alpha^k\le l_0(1-\epsilon)),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0\le\sum_{l_0(1+\epsilon)\le l\le k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)&\le(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p\sum_{l_0(1+\epsilon)\le l\le k-1}P(X_\alpha^k=l)\\&=(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p P(X_\alpha^k\ge l_0(1+\epsilon))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l_0(1+\epsilon)}})^p P(l_0(1-\epsilon)\le X_\alpha^k\le l_0(1+\epsilon))\\&\le\sum_{l_0(1-\epsilon)\le l\le l_0(1+\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k=l)\\&\le(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l_0(1-\epsilon)}})^p P(l_0(1-\epsilon)\le X_\alpha^k\le l_0(1+\epsilon))\end{aligned}$$

By LDP of $NB(k,\alpha)$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p P(X_\alpha^k \le l_0(1-\epsilon)) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{p}})^p P(X_\alpha^k \ge l_0(1+\epsilon)) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{p\le l\le l_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k = l) \to 0, \text{ as } k\to+\infty.$$

and

$$\sum_{l_0(1+\epsilon)\le l\le k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k = l) \to 0, \text{ as } k\to+\infty.$$

By central limit theorem of X_α^k, letting $k\to+\infty$, then $\epsilon\to 0$, we have

$$\sum_{l_0(1-\epsilon)\le l\le l_0(1+\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{l_0}{l}})^p P(X_\alpha^k = l) \to 1, \text{ as } k\to+\infty.$$

Then

$$\Sigma_1 \sim \frac{1}{l_0^{\frac{p}{2}}}C_p\frac{4^k k!(k-1)!}{\Gamma(2k+1)}(\frac{p+1}{p+2})^k.$$

By Stirling's formula, we know

$$A_{k,p+1} \sim \Sigma_1 \sim C_p\sqrt{\pi}(\frac{p+2}{p})^p\frac{1}{k^{\frac{p+1}{2}}}(\frac{p+1}{p+2})^k.$$

□

[PROOF OF LEMMA 6]:

Proof. Define

$$\Sigma_2 = \sum_{s=0}^{k-l}\binom{k}{s}(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda})^s C_l\frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}}(\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s}.$$

Then

$$\Sigma_2 = C_l(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1})^k\sum_{s=0}^{k-l}\frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}}P(X_\beta^k = s),$$

where X_β^k follows binomial distribution $B(k,\beta)$, and $\beta = \frac{\frac{l}{l+1}}{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1}}$.

Next, we show $\Sigma_2 \sim \frac{1}{s_0^{\frac{l}{2}}}C_l(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1})^k$, where $s_0 = \beta k$. To this end, $\forall\epsilon>0$, consider

$$\sum_{s=0}^{k-l}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k = l), \tag{9}$$

let's rewrite (9) as

$$\sum_{0\le s\le s_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)+\sum_{(1-\epsilon)s_0\le s\le(1+\epsilon)s_0}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)$$
$$+\sum_{(1+\epsilon)s_0\le s\le k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s).$$

Since

$$0\le\sum_{0\le s\le s_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)\le(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s_0(1-\epsilon)}})^l P(X_\beta^k\le s_0(1-\epsilon))$$

and

$$0\le\sum_{(1+\epsilon)s_0\le s\le k-1}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)\le(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{l}})^l P(X_\beta^k\ge s_0(1+\epsilon)),$$

then by LDP of $B(k,\beta)$, we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\sum_{0\le s\le s_0(1-\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)=0$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}\sum_{k-l\ge s\ge s_0(1+\epsilon)}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)=0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-(1+\epsilon)s_0}})^l P((1-\epsilon)s_0\le X_\beta^k\le(1+\epsilon)s_0)\\ &\le\sum_{(1-\epsilon)s_0\le s\le(1+\epsilon)s_0}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)\\ &\le(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-(1-\epsilon)s_0}})^l P((1-\epsilon)s_0\le X_\beta^k\le(1+\epsilon)s_0)\end{aligned}$$

By the central limit theorem of binomial distribution,

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty}P((1-\epsilon)s_0\le X_\beta^k\le(1+\epsilon)s_0)=1.$$

Letting $k\to+\infty$, $\epsilon\to 0$, we have

$$\sum_{(1-\epsilon)s_0\le s\le(1+\epsilon)s_0}(\sqrt{\frac{s_0}{k-s}})^l P(X_\beta^k=s)\to(\frac{\beta}{1-\beta})^{\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Therefore, $\Sigma_2\sim(\frac{1}{1-\beta})^{\frac{l}{2}}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{l}{2}}}(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1})^k$. Then we show $C_{k,l}\sim\Sigma_2$. Since $\forall\epsilon>0,\exists M>0$, such that $\forall k-s>M$,

$$1-\epsilon\le\frac{A_{k-s,l}}{C_l\frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}}(\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s}}\le 1+\epsilon,\quad C_{k,l}=A+B,$$

where

$$A=\sum_{s=0}^{k-M}\binom{k}{s}(\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda})^s A_{k-s,l}$$

and

$$B = \sum_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s A_{k-s,l}.$$

Since

$$0 \le B \le \max_{k-M \le s \le k-l} A_{k-s,l} \binom{k}{k-M} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-M},$$

and

$$0 \le \frac{B}{\Sigma_2} \le \max_{k-M \le s \le k-l} A_{k-s,l} (1-\beta)^{\frac{l}{2}} k^{\frac{l}{2}} \binom{k}{k-M} (\frac{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}}{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}})^k \to 0.$$

Thus, $\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{B}{\Sigma_2} = 0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\epsilon) \sum_{0 \le s \le k-M} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s} \\
&\le A \\
&\le (1+\epsilon) \sum_{0 \le s \le k-M} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\epsilon)(1 - \sum_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s}) \\
&\le A \\
&\le (1+\epsilon)(1 - \sum_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s})
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\le \sum_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s} \\
&\le \max_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \left[C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s} \right] \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda})^s,
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\le \frac{\sum_{k-M \le s \le k-l} \binom{k}{s} (\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda})^s C_l \frac{1}{(k-s)^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{l}{l+1})^{k-s}}{\Sigma_2} \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, letting $k \to \infty$, $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{k,l} &\sim \Sigma_2 \sim (\frac{1}{1-\beta})^{\frac{l}{2}} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1})^k \\
&= (1 + \frac{\lambda l}{(\lambda - \lambda)(l+1)})^{\frac{l}{2}} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{l}{2}}} (\frac{\lambda - l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1})^k.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

[PROOF OF LEMMA 7]:

Proof. Let's assume that $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n(\theta)=(\frac{u-1}{u})^n$, for $u(u-1)<\lambda\le u(u+1), u>2$, then we are going to show $\lim_{\theta\to 0}K_n(\theta)=\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n(\theta)$. $K_n^\lambda(\theta)$ can be rewritten as

$$K_n^\lambda(\theta)=\frac{\tilde{K}_n^\lambda(\theta)+F_n^\lambda(\theta)}{1+G^\lambda(\theta)},$$

where

$$F_n^\lambda(\theta)=\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}(A_{k+n,l}(\theta)-A_{k+n,l})}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}},$$

and

$$G^\lambda(\theta)=\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}(A_{k,l}(\theta)-A_{k,l})}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}.$$

We claim that $\lim_{\theta\to 0}F_n^\lambda(\theta)=\lim_{\theta\to 0}G^\lambda(\theta)=0$, then

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}K_n(\theta)=\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n(\theta)$$

is followed. Indeed, by lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0\le|F_n^\lambda(\theta)|&\le\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}|A_{k+n,l}(\theta)-A_{k+n,l}|}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}\\
&\le\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l l\theta\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}\\
&\le[\lambda]\theta\frac{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}=[\lambda]\theta\tilde{K}_n^\lambda(\theta)\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by lemma 2, we have

$$0\le|G^\lambda(\theta)|\le[\lambda]\theta\to 0,\ \text{as }\theta\to 0.$$

Now we are going to show Let's assume that $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n(\theta)=(\frac{u-1}{u})^n$, for $u(u-1)<\lambda\le u(u+1), u>2$. We can rewrite $\tilde{K}_n(\theta)$ as

$$\sum_{v=1}^{[\lambda]}\frac{\theta^v\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}\frac{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,v}}{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}.$$

Then,

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n^\lambda(\theta)=\sum_{v=1}^{[\lambda]}\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\theta^v\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,v}}{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}.$$

By lemma 4 and lemma 5, we know

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k+n,v}}{\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}=(\frac{v}{v+1})^n,$$

then we need to show

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\theta^v\sum_{k=v}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^\infty\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}=\delta_{(u-1)}(v).$$

Once we have this, then $\lim_{\theta\to 0}\tilde{K}_n(\theta)=\sum_{v=1}^{[\lambda]}\delta_{(u-1)}(v)(\frac{v}{v+1})^n=(\frac{u-1}{u})^n$. To this end, we divide θ^λ to the numerator and denumerator of

$$\frac{\theta^v\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^l\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}},$$

thus, we need consider

$$\frac{\theta^{v-\lambda}\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^{l-\lambda}\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}.$$

Since $1\leq v\leq[\lambda]\leq\lambda$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^{v-\lambda}\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}&=(\frac{1}{\theta})^{\lambda-v}\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}\\&=(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\log\frac{1}{\theta})^s}{s!}(\lambda-v)^s)(\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v})\\&=\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,v},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_{k,v}=\sum_{s=0}^{k-v}\binom{k}{s}(\frac{\lambda-v}{\lambda})^sA_{k-s,v}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\theta^{v-\lambda}\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\theta^{l-\lambda}\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}A_{k,l}}=\frac{\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]}\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,l}}.$$

To figure out the limit, we must find the leading term among

$$\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,l},1\leq l\leq[\lambda].$$

By lemma 4 and lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\theta\to 0}\frac{\sum_{k=v}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,v}}{\sum_{k=l}^{\infty}\frac{(\lambda\log\frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!}C_{k,l}}&=\lim_{k\to+\infty}\frac{C_{k,v}}{C_{k,l}}\\&=\lim_{k\to+\infty}\frac{(1+\frac{\lambda v}{(\lambda-v)(v+1)})^{\frac{v}{2}}}{(1+\frac{\lambda l}{(\lambda-l)(l+1)})^{\frac{l}{2}}}\frac{1}{k^{\frac{v-l}{2}}}(\frac{\frac{\lambda-v}{\lambda}+\frac{v}{v+1}}{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1}})^k.\end{aligned}$$

To find the leading term, we need to figure our the maximum term among $\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1},1\leq l\leq[\lambda]$. Consider $f(x)=\frac{\lambda-x}{\lambda}+\frac{x}{x+1}=2-(\frac{x}{\lambda}+\frac{1}{x+1})$, then

$$f^{'}(x)=\frac{1}{(x+1)^2}-\frac{1}{\lambda},$$

we know

$$f^{'}(x)=\begin{cases}\geq 0, & x\leq\sqrt{\lambda}-1\\ \leq 0, & x\geq\sqrt{\lambda}-1.\end{cases}$$

Therefore, $\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda}+\frac{l}{l+1}$ attains its maximum at $[\sqrt{\lambda}]-1$ or $[\sqrt{\lambda}]$.

Case 1: For $(u-1)u < \lambda < u^2, [\sqrt{\lambda}] = u-1$, since

$$f(u-2) = 2 - (\frac{u-2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{u-1})$$

and

$$f(u-1) = 2 - (\frac{u-1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{u}),$$

then $f(u-2) - f(u-1) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{u(u-1)} < 0$, so $f(u-1)$ is the maximum term.

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}}{\frac{\lambda-u+1}{\lambda} + \frac{u-1}{u}} < 1, \forall 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda].$$

then

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{C_{k,l}}{C_{k,u-1}} = \delta_{u-1}(l), \forall 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda].$$

Case 2: For $u^2 \leq \lambda < u(u+1), [\sqrt{\lambda}] = u$, then the maximum term of $\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}$ should be $f(u-1)$ or $f(u)$. Since

$$f(u-1) - f(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{u(u+1)} > 0,$$

then $f(u-1)$ is the maximum term, and

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}}{\frac{\lambda-u+1}{\lambda} + \frac{u-1}{u}} < 1, \forall 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda].$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{C_{k,l}}{C_{k,u-1}} = \delta_{u-1}(l), \forall 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda].$$

Case 3: For $\lambda = u(u+1), [\sqrt{\lambda}] = u$, then the maximum term of $\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}$ is $f(u-1)$ or $f(u)$. Since

$$f(u-1) - f(u) = \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{u(u+1)} = 0,$$

then

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda-l}{\lambda} + \frac{l}{l+1}}{\frac{\lambda-u+1}{\lambda} + \frac{u-1}{u}} < 1, \forall 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda], l \neq u, u-1,$$

and

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda-u+1}{\lambda} + \frac{u-1}{u}}{\frac{\lambda-u}{\lambda} + \frac{u}{u+1}} = 1.$$

But

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{C_{k,u}}{C_{k,u-1}} = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{(1 + \frac{\lambda u}{(\lambda-u)(u+1)})^{\frac{u}{2}}}{(1 + \frac{\lambda u-1}{(\lambda-u+1)u})^{\frac{u-1}{2}}} \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 0,$$

therefore, $C_{k,u-1}$ is the maximum term. We have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} \frac{C_{k,l}}{C_{k,u-1}} = \delta_{u-1}(l), 1 \leq l \leq [\lambda].$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\theta\to 0} \frac{\theta^v \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,v}}{\sum_{l=1}^{[\lambda]} \theta^l \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda \log \frac{1}{\theta})^k}{k!} A_{k,l}} = \delta_{(u-1)}(v), 1 \leq v \leq [\lambda].$$

□

4. Acknowledgement

This work is part of my PhD thesis. I would like to thank my PhD supervisor Shui Feng for suggesting this problem to me. Without him, I probably would never have the chance to obtain this work. I also would like to thank Paul G. Higgs for showing me the connection between population genetics and statistical physics.

References

[1] D. Dawson and S. Feng (1995)*Asymptotic behavior of Poisson-Dirichlet distribution for large mutation rate*. Ann. Appl. Probab., Vol.16,No. 2, 562-582.

[2] B. Derrida and H. Flyvbjerg (1987)*Statistical Properties of Randomly Broken Objects and of Multivalley Structures in Disordered Systems.* J. Phys. A:Math.Gen., 20 5273-5288.

[3] S.N.Ethier and T.G.Kurtz (1981)*The Infinitely-many-neutral-alleles Diffusion Model.* Adv.Appl.Prob., 13 429-452.

[4] S.N.Ethier and T.G.Kurtz (1998) *Coupling and ergodic theorems fro Fleming-Viot process.* Ann. Probab., Vol.26,No.2, 533-561.

[5] P.G.Higgs (1995) *Frequency Distributions in Population Genetics Parallel Those in Statistical Physics.* Physical Review E. Vol.51,No.1, 95-101.

[6] F.M.Stewart (1976) *Variability in the Amount of Heterozygosity Maintained by Neutral Mutation.*Theoret. Popul. Biol., 9,188-201.

[7] S. Feng (2009) *Poisson-Dirichlet Distribution With Small Mutation.* Stochastic Process and Their Application, Vol.18,No.5,1794-1824.

[8] S. Feng (2010) *The Poisson-Dirichlet Distribution and Related Topics.*Springer, Heidelberg, 160-178.

[9] J.H. Gillespie (1999) *The role of population size in molecular evolution.* Theoret. Popul. Biol., 55,145-156.

[10] P. Joyce, S.M. Krone and T.G. Kurtz (2003)*When can one detect overdominant selection in the infinite-alleles model?.* Ann. Appl. Probab., 13,181-212.

[11] T. Maruyama and M. Nei (1981) *Genetic Variability Maintained by Mutation and Overdominant Selection in Finite Populations.* Genetics 98, 441-459.

[12] G.A. Watterson (1977) *Heterosis or neutrality?*, Genetics 85,789-814.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McMaster University, 1280 Main Street West,, Hamilton Ontario, Canada L8S 2L4

E-mail address: `zhouy52@math.mcmaster.ca`